



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/088,073  | 06/12/2002  | Kiyoshi Fuda         | 220036USOPCT        | 7579             |
| 22850   | 7590        | 08/09/2004           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.<br>1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |             |                      | PRICE, ELVIS O      |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |

1621

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/088,073             | FUDA ET AL.         |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Elvis O. Price         | 1621                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Elvis O. Price.  (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Stefan Koschmieder.  (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 05 August 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and proposed new claims 20-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Franklin et al. {WO 9410973} and Hiroaki et al. (abst. of 76<sup>th</sup> Chem. Soc. of Japan).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative presented arguments that Hiroaki et al. leaves fluorinated compounds in solution and Franklin et al. forms an occlusive gel whereas the presently claimed invention is the treatment of waste water solution for removal of fluorinated compound(s).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required